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Abstract

**Background:** Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common behavioral disorders in children and one of the health chronic disorders at school age.

**Purpose:** This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of omega-3 supplementation as an alternative therapy in children with ADHD, which can be due to vitamins and minerals deficiencies.

**Methods:** This study was a double-blinded clinical trial study. Sixty six children with ADHD, referring to the child and adolescent psychiatric educational and therapeutic clinic, aged 6 to 12 years were selected based on DSM-IV-TR criteria. For assessment of ADHD, in 0, 2, 4 and 8 weeks, the instruments include Parent ADHD Rating Scale were used.

**Results:** The results showed that there were no statistically significant difference between the methylphenidate with omega-3 group and methylphenidate with placebo group based on the Parents ADHD Rating Scale for baseline weeks ($P \geq 0.96$) and eight weeks ($P \geq 0.75$) . In addition, there were no significant difference between the Inattention ($P \geq 0.48$) and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity ($P \geq 0.80$) subscales in the Parents ADHD Rating Scale in the two groups. The results showed that the most common drug complication of anorexia 27(54%) and diarrhea10(20%) in methylphenidate with placebo group, also, common drug complication of anorexia 41(60.29%) and diarrhea 8(11.76%) in methylphenidate with omega-3 group, but, no significant difference between two groups ($P > 0.05$).

**Conclusion:** The results of our study showed that a specific dose of omega-3 for eight weeks had no effect on the treatment of ADHD.
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Key messages

Question:
Is the omega-3 supplementation with methylphenidate as an alternative therapy effect on the reduce Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children?

Finding:
The results showed that there were no statistically significant difference between the methylphenidate with omega-3 group and methylphenidate with placebo ($P \geq 0.96$).

Meanings:
The results of our study showed that a specific dose of omega-3 for eight weeks had no effect on the treatment of ADHD
Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common neurodevelopment disorders in children, adolescents and adults with attention deficits, hyperactivity and impulsive behaviors\(^1\). ADHD occurs in 5 to 12% of children. According to reports, about 59% of clients referring to mental health services suffer from it\(^2\) . It causes high costs to global health\(^3\).

The main cause of the ADHD disorder is currently unknown, but in various studies, it has been linked to genetics, injury to the fetus, parturition complications, low birth weight\(^4\) and smoking during pregnancy\(^5\).

Over the past two decades, attention has been paid to the effects of diet on hyperactivity. Researchers have reported that various aspects of the child’s diet including food additives, refined sugars, food allergies, and metabolism of minerals and fatty acids can have a negative impact on individual’s behavior\(^6,7\)

This disorder causes many problems at home, in school, and in social settings. It may lead to academic problems, increased accidents, increased drug abuse, increased defiant and delinquent behaviors, and increased risk of depression and anxiety which necessitate treatment\(^8,9\). For example, findings suggest that nearly 25% of affected youth will not respond to above mentioned medication (stimulants such as methylphenidate and other stimulants), or that they will discontinue usage due to negative side effects, most notably insomnia and loss of appetite\(^10-12\).

Therefore, sometimes, instead of taking Methylphenidate, other medications are considered. Omega-3 supplement is one of the treatments used in ADHD. There is little information on its effectiveness. In a 2007 study, it has been reported that the use of Omega-3 supplements has significantly reduced ADHD symptoms in children\(^13\).
Daily supplementation of omega-3 has led to low to moderate improvements in ADHD symptoms in several studies\textsuperscript{14-16}. In a study conducted in 2009, its effectiveness has not been proven in children with ADHD\textsuperscript{17}. In some studies, the reduced serum omega-3 levels in children and adolescents with ADHD has been shown\textsuperscript{7,18,19}. The main neurobiological mechanism of the omega-3 supplementation is quite clear. Omega-3 plays an important role in cell membrane elasticity and myelination resulting in better transmission of neural signals\textsuperscript{20}. Studies have shown that cell membrane function and transfer of neurotransmitters are impaired due to the deficiency of the essential fatty acids of Eicosapentaenoic Acid (EPA) and Docosahexaenoic Acid\textsuperscript{6,20}.

In animal studies, it has been shown that dopamine and serotonin neurotransmitters have decreased in mice with low levels of omega-3\textsuperscript{21,22}. Low levels of omega-3 in the frontal cortex of the mice are associated with hyperactivity and impulsivity\textsuperscript{23}. In human studies, dopamine and cognition dysfunction have been proven in ADHD subjects. Given these cases, it is likely that omega-3 plays an important role in treating this disorder\textsuperscript{24,25}.

Therefore, in a double-blinded clinical trial, the researchers investigated the efficacy of omega-3 supplementation as an adjunct therapy with Methylphenidate in ADHD patients. Our hypothesis was that the combination of omega-3 with methylphenidate is more effective than methylphenidate with placebo.
Methods

This study was a double-blinded clinical trial. The study population consisted of children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) referred to the children and adolescent psychiatric clinic of Besat Hospital in Sanandaj, Iran, 2016. The study population consisted of 66 children (49 boys and 17 girls) aged 6 to 12 years’ old who were selected through simple random sampling. Also, the sample size based on $\alpha=0.05$ and $\beta=0.20$ was determined. The randomization list was made using a computerized random-number generator based on a random-number Table.

Of these, 6 were excluded. The drops occurred at the end of the second week. Two patients in the Methylphenidate and omega-3 groups were unwilling to take medication. Four patients in the Methylphenidate and placebo group left the study. One of them was due to seizure and 3 others due to gastrointestinal complications during drug use. Finally, 60 subjects' results were analyzed are shown in Figure1.

The ADHD was diagnosed by a psychiatrist of children and adolescents based on DSM-IV-TR criteria. A structured clinical interview with Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) was used to reject other disorders.

Patients were from all ADHD subtypes and new ones. The parents of children described the ADHD symptoms in accordance with the DSM-IV-TR criteria. Children who had a history of supplementation with omega-3 in at least the last six months, the presence of any known physical illness, mental disability, known psychiatric disorders (autism spectrum disorders, schizophrenia, intellectual impairment and other psychiatric disorders), seizure, any psychiatrist comorbidity which needs treatment and children with suicide ideas were excluded.

Those who were willing to participate in the study entered it after a brief explanation of stages of study, receiving written consent from parents and oral consent of children.
Agreement was designed in accordance with the ethical and legal regulations of Iran, in accordance with the Helsinki Statement (1975) and the 2008 edition of Human Resource Research\(^{26}\). In addition, this study was registered in IRCTID: IRCT2016060128182N2 (http://irct.ir/) on the Iranian clinical trial site. Also, it was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, IRB number is IR.MUK.REC.1394.265.

Patients began treatment after a structured clinical psychiatric interview and physical examination. Physical examination was performed for heart rate, blood pressure and weight before the treatment. The demographic information checklists were filled after selecting the samples. The subjects were randomized divided into two groups and they referred with a code to the pharmacist. In addition, the patient, parents and the person who administrated the medications, were blinded, while the pharmacist was unblinded. Omega-3 EPA capsules (180mg) and DHA (120mg) and placebo (olive oil) were given to patients as pockets (1 and 2) as the same gel-shaped capsules produced by the Zahrawi Tabriz-Iran drug factory. One group of patients was treated with Methylphenidate with an omega-3 supplement and one group was treated with Methylphenidate with placebo. Methylphenidate (10 mg) was prescribed as half a tablet at 8:00 am and the other half at 4:00 PM daily in the first week and from the second week, 2 tablets (one at 8:00 Am, one a 4:00 PM) based on the patient's tolerance. Given the need and tolerance of patients who were above 30 kg, Methylphenidate was given up to 30 mg. One omega-3 capsule was given in the first week and one each morning in the placebo group. Then, from the second week, one omega-3 capsule was given in the morning and one was given in the afternoon and also it was given in placebo group similarity. For the participants, the ADHD Rating Scale (Parents) test was completed before the start of the treatment and at weeks 2, 4 and 8 to determine the severity of ADHD symptoms.
Methodology

Parent’s ADHD Rating Scale

As a reliable and valid tool, it is used to assess the severity of ADHD symptoms and the rate of response to treatment in children and adolescents, which has two versions of parents and teachers. The purpose of the mentioned instrument was to deliver a method for obtaining teacher and parent ratings for clinical professionals, according to the frequency of each of the ADHD symptoms based on DSM-IV criteria.

This scale has 18 phrases in attention deficit and hyperactivity/impulsivity. Eighteen items were written in such a way that, as briefly as possible, they represent DSM-IV criteria.

The respondent rate each item on a 4-point Likert-scale (0 to 3). Attention deficit items included even phrases and hyperactivity/impulsivity included odd items. This has been done to reduce the bias in response. From the results of this scale, three scores (attention deficit, hyperactivity/impulsivity, and total) are obtained. The raw score of attention deficit is calculated by aggregating even scores, and the hyperactivity/impulsivity raw score is calculated by summing up the odd scores. The total raw score is calculated by adding the raw scores of its two sub-scales. Raw scores are converted to percentage points using the normative table scale based on the age and sex of the child. The internal consistency of this scale was 92% and the test-retest reliability was 85% with a 4-week interval. In the present study, Tehrani Dost and Zargirinejad translated form (2003) was used.

Demographics Checklists:

It includes variables such as age, gender, weight, parents’ education level, parents’ job and family income.

Drug Complication Checklist:

A checklist was used to investigate the possible side effects of the drug in which the side effects reported for Omega-3 and Methylphenidate supplements were registered. Positive
complications were recorded in the checklist in the 2nd, 4th and 8th weeks after the start of the study, and if other complications were seen, they were also recorded.

**Data analysis:**

After collecting information, the data were entered into SPSS-20 software and the percentage, mean, and standard deviation were used for descriptive statistics. For analyzing the hypotheses of the research, T-test and Repeated measure ANOVA were used.
Results

The two groups did not have a significant difference in terms of demographic characteristics (except weight) include Gender, age, type of ADHD, Father's job, Mother's job, Education level 'father Education level 'mother and Family income are shown in Table 1. Values are presented as mean± Standard Deviation or number (%); F, Father; M, Mother

The results also showed that the most common drug complication between both groups was anorexia and diarrhea. Also, diarrhea was more common in the Methylphenidate and placebo group in the 8th week. Four patients in the Methylphenidate and placebo groups were excluded from the study one because of seizures and three because of gastrointestinal complications and restlessness during drug use. Also, based on Fisher Exact Test, there were no significant relationship between two groups of Methylphenidate and placebo with Methylphenidate and Omega-3 in drug complications($P>0.05$) are shown in Table 2.

Parent ADHD-Rating Scale

The results of repeated measure ANOVA for ADHD-rating scale parent/total within-group effects showed that there was no significant difference between the treatment groups ($f=, 0.39$, $P\geq0.75$). However, the mean scores of subjects decreased during the course of treatment. In other words, in both groups, the ADHD-rating scale parent/total was reduced are shown in Table 3.

Moreover, there was no significant difference between the two groups regarding the between-groups comparison ($f=0.14$, $P \geq0.70$). Comparing each single trend in two groups at a single time showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups are shown in Table 3.
The results of repeated measure ANOVA for within-group effects of attention deficit subscale showed that there was no significant difference during the weeks of treatment ($f=0.79, P \geq 0.48$). However, the mean scores of subjects have decreased over the course of the treatment indicating that the mean of attention deficit was reduced in both groups are shown in Table 3.

Also, there was no significant difference between the two groups regarding the between-groups comparison ($f=0.32, P \geq 0.86$). Comparing each single trend in two groups at a single time showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups are shown in Table 3.

The results of repeated measure ANOVA for within-group effects of Hyperactivity/Impulsivity subscale showed that there was no significant difference between two groups during weeks ($f=0.27, P \geq 0.80$). However, the mean scores of subjects have decreased over the course of treatment, indicating that in both groups the mean of hyperactivity has decreased are shown in Table 3.

In addition, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups regarding between-groups comparisons ($f=0.65, P \geq 0.42$). In addition, comparing single trends in two groups at a single time showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups are shown in Table 3.
Discussion

ADHD disorder is one of the most common psychiatric diseases occurring in 5 to 12% of children.

The main cause of ADHD is unknown and many factors contribute to its development. One of the possible causes is the role of nutritional factors in the hyperactivity of children. The short age of fatty acids, i.e. Omega 3 and 6, contribute to the development of ADHD. One of the important roles of essential fatty acids is the communication between the brain cells.

Nerve cell membranes include phospholipids in which fatty acids are one of its main components. It is suggested that essential fatty acids affect the function of cell membranes and transmissions of neurotransmitters. Thus, these functions are disrupted by the lack of essential fatty acids.

Regarding the role of norepinephrine and dopamine in the physiopathology of ADHD, nerve stimulant drugs such as Methylphenidate are known as a selective therapy. However, around 30% of children do not respond to Methylphenidate and there is a potential risk of abusing Methylphenidate as it may be necessary to take at least one dose at school. So, sometimes instead of taking Methylphenidate, other medications include venlafaxine, memantine and bupropion are prescribed.

A double-blinded clinical trial was conducted on ADHD children. The present study showed that omega-3 supplementation has no effect on the treatment of children with ADHD, so, the study results were negative.

Previous studies have shown that omega-3s are well tolerated by children and have minor side effects such as digestive discomfort and nose bleeding. In a study by the Mexican Mental Health Center in children with ADHD, children who received Methylphenidate supplemented with omega-3 and 6 had lower needs for medication and lower side effects. A review study of 25 clinical trials has shown that omega-3s are effective in two groups of
patients. Firstly, people with mild ADHD. Secondly, people with severe ADHD for whom omega-3 supplement reduced the amount of stimulant drug and, in particular, the side effects of drugs\textsuperscript{35}.

In our study, the drug complications were almost similar in the Methylphenidate with omega-3 groups and Methylphenidate with placebo groups. However, some complications such as anorexia, diarrhea and irritability were the most common complications between the two groups. Although, the rate of anorexia and diarrhea was higher in the control group. Also, diarrhea was more common in the Methylphenidate and placebo groups in the 8th week. One case, seizure was observed in Methylphenidate and placebo group, so that subject was excluded. Most patients easily tolerated complications and continued the study.

The two groups were similar in terms of clinical symptoms at the beginning of the study is shown in Figure 2.

The results showed that there was no significant difference between the two groups of Methylphenidate with omega-3 and Methylphenidate with placebo based on the Parents ADHD Rating Scale during eight weeks ($P = 0.692$). Also, there was no significant difference between attention deficit ($P = 0.86$) and hyperactivity ($P = 0.409$) subscales in the parents ADHD rating scale. In other words, there was no difference between the effect of Methylphenidate with omega-3 and Methylphenidate with placebo on ADHD and between attention deficit and hyperactivity subscales.

In a 2009 study, 75 children and adolescents aged 8-18 years were randomly treated with omega-3 and 6 supplements for 3 months. They have not received any medication for 3 months. Most of these subjects did not respond to treatment and only 26% of people reported a reduction of more than 25% in ADHD symptoms. In the first 3 months, changes in all parameters were higher in the case group than the control group ($P = 0.04$), and 47% responded to treatment after 6 months\textsuperscript{17}. 


The results of our study are consistent with the result of the above study. In our study, there was no significant difference between the ADHD rating scales of attention deficit and hyperactivity in the two treatment groups during the eight weeks.

In a review study (2011), the researchers evaluated the effectiveness of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation in children with ADHD. Omega-3 supplementation has had a dramatic effect on the recovery of ADHD symptoms in a few cases. The dosage of Eicosapentaenoic Acid in the supplement has been significantly associated with the degree of supplement efficacy. Overall, omega-3 supplementation had a modest effect compared to other available drugs for the treatment of ADHD, such as psycho-stimulants or alpha-2 agonists in high doses. However, considering the harmful effects of omega-3 and its moderate effect on ADHD, it seems logical to use as a reinforce of common drug or for patients (families) who do not accept other psychiatric interventions\(^{15}\).

The results of this study are not consistent with our study in terms of the effect of omega-3 on ADHD. The sample size of this study is very different from our study which may be one of the reasons for the difference in outcome. Alternatively, it can be the results of the difference in dosage of supplementation with omega-3, which was lower in our study. However, the results are consistent on the complications of Omega-3 drugs compared to other drugs.

In a study, the effects of omega-3 and omega-6 supplements have been evaluated in the treatment of Methylphenidate-resistant ADHD children. This study was conducted on children aged 6 to 12 with ADHD who have been treated more than 6 months with methylphenidate and behavioral therapy.

According to their parents, there was no improvement in their behavior, educational status and learning. Children were randomly divided into two groups. One group received omega-3 and omega-6 supplements and the other group received placebo. At 3 and 6 months after the start of the study, the treatment outcomes were assessed through checklist given to the
parents of children. There were statistically significant improvements in the case group in terms of: restlessness, aggression, fulfilling works and academic performance ($P < 0.01$). There was no significant improvement between the two groups during the first 3 months of treatment, but after 6 months, significant improvement was observed in the case group in terms of attention, impulsive behavior and collaboration with family and teachers ($P < 0.05$). In our study, there was no significant difference between ADHD Parent rating Scale subscales in the two treatment groups over a period of eight weeks. However, the mean scores of attention deficit and hyperactivity of ADHD Rating Scales subscales decreased over the course of eight weeks. The reason for the difference in our results with previous studies may be the age of our subjects, which was lower, and the duration of the treatment, which was two months or because of the instrument.

In conclusion, although supplements and alternative therapies are widely used in the treatment of ADHD, the results of our study showed that omega-3 had no effect on ADHD with the specified dose and over a period of eight weeks. Overall, the results of our study were negative. Based on that, treatment with Omega-3 supplementation requires studies with higher doses and longer duration, and in this study omega-3 supplementation has not a dangerous complication and is easily tolerated. The limitation of this study include , first small sample size and Imbalance of gender , second no measurement of blood levels of Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid because of to be not kets in experimental centers in our university in before and after treatment by omega 3.third , The duration of the study was eight weeks, which was a short time. Studies with longer duration are required. However, if the mentioned limitation were not, may be the results of this study will be different.
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Fig. 1: Flow chart showing the Selection of participants

Fig. 2. Shows that there was no significant difference between the two groups during the weeks of ADHD treatment ($f=0.39$, $P\geq0.75$).

Table 1: Participants' demographic characteristics by type of treatments of ADHD

Table 2: Comparison of complications observed between the two groups during the study

Table 3. Comparison of the ADHD-rating scale of parent-total and their sub-scales in different weeks for the two groups.
Table 1: Participants' demographic characteristics by type of treatments of ADHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and Omega-3</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and placebo</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>7.7±1.65</td>
<td>8.20±1.72</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>10(33.3)</td>
<td>7(21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>23(69.7)</td>
<td>26(78.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>23.77±5.68</td>
<td>27.69±5.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father's job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>2(6.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>31(93.9)</td>
<td>33(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>27(81.8)</td>
<td>30(90.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>6(18.2)</td>
<td>3(9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level(F)</td>
<td>≥Diploma</td>
<td>29(87.9)</td>
<td>28(84.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤Bachelor</td>
<td>4(12.1)</td>
<td>5(15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level(M)</td>
<td>≥Diploma</td>
<td>30(90.9)</td>
<td>29(87.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤Bachelor</td>
<td>3(9.1)</td>
<td>4(12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤100$</td>
<td>17(51.5)</td>
<td>16(48.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;100$</td>
<td>16(48.5)</td>
<td>17(51.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Parent ADHD Rating Scale</td>
<td>42.75±6.79</td>
<td>42.66±6.76</td>
<td>&gt;0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dose</td>
<td>1 week dose</td>
<td>10mg/day</td>
<td>10mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-week dose</td>
<td>20mg/day</td>
<td>20mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,6 and 8 weeks doses doses</td>
<td>20mg/day</td>
<td>20mg/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of complications observed between the two groups during the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of complication</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and placebo</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and Omega-3</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
<td>2(2.94)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fisher Exact Test
Values are presented as number (%)

Table 3. Comparison of the ADHD-rating scale of parent-total and their sub-scales in different weeks for the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scales</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and Placebo</th>
<th>Methylphenidate and Omega-3</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent ADHD Rating Scale (Total)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>≥0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (Baseline)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (2)</td>
<td>42.61±6.86</td>
<td>42.51±7.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (4)</td>
<td>34.90±8.50</td>
<td>35.82±10.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (8)</td>
<td>25.58±10.06</td>
<td>25.75±10.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.77±8.21</td>
<td>17.75±9.620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent ADHD Rating Scale (Inattention)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>≥0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (Baseline)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (2)</td>
<td>22.25±2.82</td>
<td>21.86±3.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (4)</td>
<td>18.64±4.88</td>
<td>18.48±4.438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (8)</td>
<td>14.06±5.37</td>
<td>13.13±5.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.00±4.74</td>
<td>9.79±4.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent ADHD Rating Scale (Hyperactivity/Impulsivity)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>≥0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (Baseline)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (2)</td>
<td>20.35±5.59</td>
<td>20.65±4.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (4)</td>
<td>16.25±5.15</td>
<td>17.34±5.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week (8)</td>
<td>11.51±5.56</td>
<td>12.62±5.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.77±4.152</td>
<td>7.96±4.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated Measure ANOVA
Values are presented as mean± Standard Deviation
Fig. 1: Flow chart showing the Selection of participants
Fig. 2 shows that there was no significant difference between the two groups at the beginning of treatment in t-test ($p \geq 0.5$).